



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,659	02/20/2007	Peter Schwind	BPD-102US	5376
31344	7590	01/16/2009		
RATNERPRESTIA P.O. BOX 1596 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			EXAMINER NGUYEN, BAO THUY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/563,659	Applicant(s) SCHWIND ET AL.	
	Examiner Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen	Art Unit 1641	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen - PTO. (3) Brian Cocca - App. Rep.

(2) Peter Schwind - Inventor. (4) Jacques Etkowicz - App. Rep.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: Video of preferred embodiment of the invention.

Claim(s) discussed: All of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the changes to the claims which will necessitate a new search. Advisory action is attached. Dr. Schwind discussed the benefits of placing indicators zone in parallel on the same membrane as opposed to either placing them in series on the same membrane or in parallel on different membranes.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1641	
---	--